## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Devin Lampkin,
Petitioner,

Case No.: 2:23-cv-001997-JAD-EJY

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Order Confirming Counsel for Petitioner and Setting Briefing Schedule

High Desert State Prison, et al.,

[ECF No. 11]

Respondents.

Now that Assistant Federal Public Defender C.B. Kirschner, Esq. has made an appearance on behalf of Petitioner Devin Lampkin, <sup>1</sup> IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Federal Public Defender, through C.B. Kirschner, Esq., is appointed as counsel for Petitioner Devin Lampkin<sup>2</sup> in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.
- 2. Petitioner has **90 days** from entry of this order to file an amended petition or seek other appropriate relief. This deadline and any extension thereof may not be construed as implied findings regarding the federal limitation period or a basis for tolling. Petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard to any court-ordered deadlines or extensions. Thus, a petition or amended petition filed within a court-ordered deadline may still be dismissed as untimely if it violates the statute of limitations.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ECF No. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Sossa v. Diaz, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

- 3. The respondents must file a response to the amended petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 60 days of service of an amended petition. Petitioner may file a reply within 30 days of service of the answer. However, Local Rule LR 7-2(b) governs the response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including motions filed in lieu of a pleading.
- 4. Any procedural defenses the respondents raise to the counseled amended petition must be raised together in a single, consolidated motion to dismiss. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained, and any procedural defenses omitted from the consolidated motion to dismiss will be waived. The respondents may not file a response that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits. But arguments that an unexhausted claim clearly lacks merit may be included a procedural-defense response. If the respondents seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) they must: (1) do so in a single motion to dismiss, not in the answer; and (2) specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) as set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart.* In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, may be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, must be raised in a single motion to dismiss.
- 5. In any answer filed on the merits, the respondents must specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623–24 (9th Cir. 2005).

21

22

23

6. The respondents must file the state court exhibits relevant to their response in chronological order.

- 7. All state court records, and related exhibits must be filed in accordance with LR IA 10-3 and LR IC 2-2 and include a separate index identifying each exhibit by number or letter. The index must be filed in CM/ECF's document upload screen as the base document to receive the base docket number (e.g., ECF No. 10). Each exhibit must then be filed as "attachments" to the base document to receive a sequenced subdocket number (e.g., Exhibit A (ECF No. 10-1), Exhibit B (ECF No. 10-2), Exhibit C (ECF No. 10-3), and so forth). If the exhibits will span more than one filing, the base document in each successive filing must be either a copy of the index or volume cover page.<sup>5</sup>
- 8. Notwithstanding LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies of any electronically filed exhibits need not be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless later directed by the court.

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

Dated: May 9, 2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See LR IC 2-2(a)(3)(A).